

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Rennie
<b>Given Name</b>	Gareth
<b>Person ID</b>	1285782
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Rennie
<b>Given Name</b>	Gareth
<b>Person ID</b>	1285782
<b>Title</b>	JPA 33 New Carrington
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>Trafford is cram packed with houses already, with very little greenbelt left. The greenbelt we do have is important for so many reasons, and has been of great solace to people over the events of the last year.</p> <p>The roads in Trafford are already consistently at gridlock, even outside of the recognised rush hour in some cases. With a metrolink system that is sometimes so full by the time it hits Brooklands on its way to Manchester, people are unable to board, I am of the strong opinion that in its current state, Trafford"s roads and public transport, already at breaking point, cannot support any more residents.</p> <p>I am all for progress, but because of the points listed, Trafford is in no way an appropriate place to build more homes.</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Build houses on brownfield, limited to a max of 250